

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,742 03/04/2002		03/04/2002	Yasushi Sugaya	614.1747CD2C	3699	
21171	7590	03/15/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				HUGHES, DEANDRA M		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3663			
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\sqrt[N]{}$	Advisory Action						
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	6
10/086,742	SUGAYA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Deandra M Hughes	3663	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deandra M Hughes	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or reply must be filed within one of the final rejection.	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri	ication in st for Continued ods:
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(DTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		Deandra M. Hughe (703) 306-4175	s

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All of the arguments presented in the Request for Reconsideration were addressed in the Final office action dated 12/10/04 except the following: Figure 5 of DiGiovanni "simply shows that the difference in output power increases between extreme channels and the center channels as the number of amplifiers increases." (pg. 9, lines 10-12). This argument is found not convincing because 'substantially equal gain' is claimed. The Examiner considers the gain of figure 5 to be substantially equal...

> THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600